

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/811,211	03/26/2004	Paul Thomas Huckabee	TH 2037	1956	
23632	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER		
SHELL OIL COMPANY			DANG, HOANG C		
P O BOX 2463	3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
HOUSTON, TX 772522463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3672		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del> -			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/811,2	211	HUCKABEE ET	HUCKABEE ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit					
		Hoang D		3672					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on th	ie cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. by period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNI event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO oplication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .							
·									
3)									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			•					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	, -		n received in this Nationa	l Stage				
* 0	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	•	, ,,	t received					
	ee the attached detailed Office action it	or a list of the cer	uned copies no	rreceived.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	TO 152\				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/23/04 &amp; 8/22/05</u> .	)(2R(08)	6)  Other:		U-132)				

Art Unit: 3672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 24 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities: The expression "the screen sections" in claim 24, line 3 has no proper antecedent basis (it appears claim 24 is meant to depend on claim 22). The word "varing" in claim 27, line 3 should be –varying--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brockman (US 6,505,682) (see figures 10-16; column 4, line 5 through column 5, line 50).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-5, 11-13 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockman (US 6,505,682) in view of Danos et al (US 6,752,207).

Brockman discloses the invention as claimed except that Brockman does not disclose the use of optical fiber, hydraulic cable or pneumatic cable. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use either electrical cable, optical fiber, hydraulic cable or pneumatic cable in Brockman because it is well known in the art to use an electrical cable, optical fiber, hydraulic cable or pneumatic cable to transmit downhole signals as evidenced by Danos et al (see column 4, lines 6-8).

Claims 7, 15, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. Brockman '682 in view of Echols et al (US 6,782,948).

Brockman discloses the invention as claimed except for the use of wireless communication. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wireless communication in Brockman as claimed because it is well known in the art to use either hard wire arrangement or wireless communication to operate a valve as evidenced by Echols et al (column 6, lines 44-47).

Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 7. Brockman '682 in view of Coon (US 5,896,928) or Tubel et al (US 6,176,312).

Brockman discloses the invention as claimed except that Brockman does not disclose the use of a data recorder. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Brockman with a data recorder because it is well known in the art to use a downhole recorder to record downhole information for later use as evidenced by Coon (column 5, lines 16-24) or Tubel et al (column 15, lines 26-34).

Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 8. Brockman '682 in view of Voll et al (US 6,112,817).

Brockman discloses the invention as claimed except for the use of differential pressure sensor or temperature sensor. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Brockman with differential pressure sensor or temperature sensor as claimed because it is well known in the art to use differential pressure and temperature sensors as claimed in order to optimize the production of the formation fluids as evidenced by Voll et al (column 6, lines 33-41).

9. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockman '682 in view of Vinegar et al (US 6,679,332).

Brockman '682 discloses the invention as claimed except for the use of a time varying signal to communicate with the valve from the sensor. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a time varying signal as claimed because such a time varying signal is well known and used in the art for downhole communication purposes as evidenced by Vinegar et al (see column 15, lines 3-9).

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3672

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

Art offit 3072